REDACTED VERSION OF EXHIBIT 3 SOUGHT TO BE FILED UNDER SEAL

Sent: Subject:	Thu, 6 Oct 2016 16:01:16 -0700 Re: connecting w/ outside counsel
It's hoste	ed on yakshaves.com, which itself is a GCE instance.
On Thu,	Oct 6, 2016 at 3:23 PM, Thomas E. Gorman wrote:
We're t	trying to trace AL's IP address on 12/11/2015 to figure out which computer he was using.
The sec	curity ops team wants to know the "domain/IP address" for your SVN solution. Could you please provide?
Thanks	
Tom	
	Sasha Zbrozek [mailto de la company de la co
	mas E. Gorman Re: connecting w/ outside counsel
	historically, high-value has been algorithms and software. The hardware (at all levels) was a second class citizen. e opinions have changed.
mecha	esigns are a combination of electronics, mechanical components, analysis, and manufacturing information. The nical parts are stored in the service which Nick was helping look in to. The rest of it is a mess of Google and an internal file sharing tool).
The logs	go back to late September or early October 2015. I haven't checked the specific date.
On Wed	, Oct 5, 2016 at 5:18 PM, Thomas E. Gorman wrote:

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1541-5 Filed 09/13/17 Page 2 of 9

From:

To:

"Thomas E. Gorman"

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1541-5 Filed 09/13/17 Page 3 of 9 How far back do these logs go? I'm trying to figure out whether this is truly out of character based on AL's work pattern, or whether we only have a short window of logs (and therefore no idea what his normal work pattern was). If these schematics are considered low-value, then where is the high-value stuff stored? Sensor designs, etc. --Tom From: Sasha Zbrozek [mailto: Sent: Wednesday, October 05, 2016 3:42 PM To: Thomas E. Gorman Subject: Re: connecting w/ outside counsel It's all electronics designs - schematics and PCB layouts, and the component library for their creation. It was considered low-value enough that we had even considered hosting it off of Google infrastructure. He was a high-level manager, and not doing any direct technical contribution at this level. It's not particularly surprising that he might check things out once in the misguided dream of maybe making individual contribution or maybe taking a look at the progress of a widget. It clearly wasn't part of his routine. Doesn't ring the alarm bells for me. On Wed, Oct 5, 2016 at 2:54 PM, Thomas E. Gorman wrote: He only accessed SVN the one time, on 12/11/2015? That's a little strange, isn't it? I'm still trying to parse his machine/host history, but I believe he had a windows laptop for only 3 weeks in December 2015. What, exactly, is in this SVN system? PCB designs? Something else? Any other hardware designs?

--Tom

Sent: Wednesday, October 05, 2016 2:44 PM
To: Thomas E. Gorman Subject: Re: connecting w/ outside counsel
The hostname isn't logged because it isn't part of the protocol. It was a Windows machine, but that's no surprise. Only one IP was ever used, which is registered to Google.
On Wed, Oct 5, 2016 at 2:32 PM, Thomas E. Gorman wrote:
Was Anthony's account sync'd to his Windows laptop? anthonyl0-w?
From: Sasha Zbrozek [mailto: Sent: Wednesday, October 05, 2016 1:41 PM To: Thomas E. Gorman
Subject: Re: connecting w/ outside counsel
Here you go.
On Wed, Oct 5, 2016 at 1:24 PM, Thomas E. Gorman wrote:
Apologies for not getting back to you sooner.
Don't wipe anything just yet, and in fact, please send me the logs for anthonyl REDACTED - PRIVILEGE
Thanks,
Tom

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1541-5 Filed 09/13/17 Page 5 of 9 From: Sasha Zbrozek [mailto: Sent: Wednesday, October 05, 2016 1:22 PM To: Thomas E. Gorman Cc: Tom Lue; Tamara Jih Murray; OTTO-KVN; Charley Saint Subject: Re: connecting w/ outside counsel -nick, as he has moved on to another autonomy company. Was there any other information you were looking for? If not, I'm going to wipe my copies of the logs and the analysis scripts. On Wed, Sep 21, 2016 at 11:21 AM, Sasha Zbrozek wrote: Summary: anthonyl - last access 2015-12-11 REDACTED - PRIVILEGE rradu - no access ever skshirsagar - no access ever

If you think there's need, I can send you those users' log entries, but they don't look very interesting.

-Sasha
On Tue, Sep 20, 2016 at 8:39 PM, Thomas E. Gorman wrote:
@Charley, the request is a little further down in this threat, in my 9/19 email @ 8:15 PM. Please let me know if you have any questions.
Thanks,
Tom
From: Tom Lue
Date: Tuesday, September 20, 2016 at 11:21 AM To: Nick Vines Cc: Thomas Gorman Sasha Zbrozek Tammy Murray
To: Nick Vines Cc: Thomas Gorman OTTO-KVN Charley Saint
To: Nick Vines Cc: Thomas Gorman Tammy Murray
To: Nick Vines Cc: Thomas Gorman OTTO-KVN Charley Saint
Cc: Thomas Gorman OTTO-KVN Charley Saint Charley Saint Subject: Re: connecting w/ outside counsel
To: Nick Vines Cc: Thomas Gorman OTTO-KVN Charley Saint
Cc: Thomas Gorman OTTO-KVN Charley Saint Charley Saint Subject: Re: connecting w/ outside counsel
Cc: Thomas Gorman OTTO-KVN Charley Saint Charley Saint Subject: Re: connecting w/ outside counsel
Cc: Thomas Gorman OTTO-KVN Charley Saint Subject: Re: connecting w/ outside counsel + Charley, who I just chatted with briefly. Charley Tom Gorman is from Keker & Van Nest, our outside counsel on this matter, and Tammy is from the Google
Cc: Thomas Gorman OTTO-KVN Charley Saint Subject: Re: connecting w/ outside counsel + Charley, who I just chatted with briefly. Charley Tom Gorman is from Keker & Van Nest, our outside counsel on this matter, and Tammy is from the Google litigation team. @Tom G Can you let Charley know what you need on your end?
Cc: Thomas Gorman OTTO-KVN Charley Saint Subject: Re: connecting w/ outside counsel + Charley, who I just chatted with briefly. Charley Tom Gorman is from Keker & Van Nest, our outside counsel on this matter, and Tammy is from the Google
Cc: Thomas Gorman OTTO-KVN Charley Saint Subject: Re: connecting w/ outside counsel + Charley, who I just chatted with briefly. Charley Tom Gorman is from Keker & Van Nest, our outside counsel on this matter, and Tammy is from the Google litigation team. @Tom G Can you let Charley know what you need on your end?
Cc: Thomas Gorman OTTO-KVN Subject: Re: connecting w/ outside counsel + Charley, who I just chatted with briefly. Charley Tom Gorman is from Keker & Van Nest, our outside counsel on this matter, and Tammy is from the Google litigation team. @Tom G Can you let Charley know what you need on your end? Thanks all,

On Tue, Sep 20, 2016 at 10:11 AM, Tom Lue

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1541-5 Filed 09/13/17 Page 8 of 9

The systems are:

- 1. Solidworks/PLM
- 2. SVN/Altium

The individuals / time periods that we are focused on are:

anthonyl - Aug. 2015 through Jan. 2016

REDACTED - PRIVILEGE

skshirsagar - June to July 2016

rradu - June to July 2016.

The second tranche includes hardware engineers and a supply-chain manager, so those logs could be more burdensome to collect. We might need to chat about ways to limit the burden by focusing the search (limit the time period? limit to certain types of access?).

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks again,

--Tom

From: Tom Lue

Date: Monday, September 19, 2016 at 1:41 PM

To: Nick Vines Sasha Zbrozek

Cc: Thomas Gorman Tammy Murray

Subject: connecting w/ outside counsel

Hi Nick and Sasha,

Per my chat with you both individually, I'm connecting you with Tom Gorman from Keker & Van Nest (outside counsel), as well as Tammy who is lead POC from the Google litigation team.

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1541-5 Filed 09/13/17 Page 9 of 9

@Tom please advise Nick and Sasha on what you need from your end.
Thanks all,
Tom
litte
If you received this communication by mistake, please don't forward it to anyone else (it may contain confidential or privileged information), please erase all copies of it, including all attachments, and please let the sender know it went to the wrong person. Thanks.
Nick Vines Self-Driving-Car Project 540.448.3022